



Dipartimento di Ingegneria e Scienze dell'Informazione e Matematica

Università degli Studi dell'Aquila

#### DATA EXTRACTION FORM

# Collaborative Model-Driven Software Engineering

MIRCO FRANZAGO DAVIDE DI RUSCIO IVANO MALAVOLTA HENRY MUCCINI

Document style © Yuriy Zachia Lun

#### **Collaborative Model-Driven Software Engineering**

#### ABSTRACT

This document describes the parameters of the data extraction activity of a systematic mapping study on collaborative model-driven software engineering.

DOCUMENT VERSION CONTROL

Document status	Version	Date	Changes from previous version
Draft	0.1	October 13, 2015	None
Revised	0.2	October 14, 2015	Added the majority of parameters
Revised and completed	0.5	January 22, 2016	Completed and revised all the parameters

## Contents

## List of Figures

### List of Tables

2	Electronic data sources targeted with search strings	7
3	Language-specific attribute	7
4	Language customization	7
5	Workspace awareness	8
6	Collaboration roles list	9
7	List of the selected primary studies	10

ID	Attribute name	Туре	Values set (if sin- gle/multiple type)	Increm. set	Description
GEN-1	ID	Single	see Table 7		Unique identifier of the study across all the primary studies.
GEN-2	Title	Open			Title of the primary study as it appears in the down- loaded paper
GEN-3	Mnemonic name	Open			The name of the study/tool/approach (if any) directly proposed by the authors
GEN-4	Authors	Open			List of the authors of the primary study.
GEN-5	Institutions	Open			List of the institutions of the primary study (as defined in the article itself).
GEN-6	Page count	Open			Number of pages of the main study
GEN-7	Reviewer	Multiple	{Franzago, DiRuscio, Mala- volta, Muccini}		The names of those who extracted the data for the cur- rent study
	Search strategy in- formations				
SSI-1	Additional papers	Open		$\checkmark$	The name of the folder containing all the papers used for the data extraction of the primary study.
SSI-2	Search method	Single	$\{A, S, P\}$		The search method that the researcher used for ob- taining the study. {A = Automatic, S = Snowballing, P = Pilot}
SSI-3	Source	Single	see Table $2 \cup \{ other \}$		The name of the electronic database from which the study has been searched, {other} if the study is coming from an electronic database not included in Table 2.
SSI-4	Main study	Open			If the paper is coming from the snowballing activity, then this parameter represents which paper this pri- mary study is coming from (either backward or for- ward).
SSI-5	Snowball activity	Single	{F, B}		If the paper is coming from the snowballing activity, then this parameter represents in which kind of snowballing the paper has been included in our study. $\{F = Forward, B = Backward\}$

RQ1.1	Model manage-				
	ment				
MM-1	Artifact type	Multiple choice	$\{M, MM\}$		The level of the artifacts under collaboration, based on the Four laws adding stack as [1, fg, 7, 9]
					the Four-layered metamodeling stack, see [1, fig. 7.2].
MM-2	Concrete syntax type	Multiple choice	{No, TEX, GRA, SKE, TREE, TAB, External}	$\checkmark$	Type of editor provided by the approach for models editing { No = NoEditor, TEX = Textual,
		choice	ILLE, IAD, External}		GRA = Graphical, SKE = Sketch-based,
					TREE = tree-view, TAB = tabular (e.g. a matrix-view)
					to setup model element attributes),
					External = the approach prescribed an external editor
					for model editing or an external editor that needs an
					adapter to be integrated in the approach}
MM-3	Editor type	Multiple	$\{No, Web, Desktop\}$		If the approach provides a tool support (client-side)
		choice			${No = NoSupport, Web = web-based, Desktop = }$
					desktop-based}
MM-4	Multi-views	Multiple	$\{$ Syn, Proj, No $\}$		Support for multi-view modeling: the approach pro-
		choice			vides support for multi-view modeling based on an
					arbitrary number of custom views defined on top of
					one or more underlying modeling language(s). {Syn = Synthetic, Proj = Projective, No = NoMultiViewSup-
					$=$ Synthetic, $rroj = rrojective, rro = roomultiviewSup-port\} [2]$
MM-5	Modeling language	Multiple	see Table 3	$\checkmark$	If the approach is tailored to support collaboration
		choice			modeling with some specific language(s) or it provides
					some mechanism to import/define user-defined lan-
					guages.
MM-7	Application domain	Single	{Generic, Specific, Hybrid}		Is the approach suitable to specific type of systems or
		choice			suitable to generic type of systems?
MM-8	Application domain	Multiple	{Generic, Large-scale in-	$\checkmark$	If System domain is not generic, what is the domain
	type	choice	formation systems, Mobile		of application?
			Applications, Web Appli-		
			cations, Business Applica-		
			tions, ITsystems}		

MM-9	System parts	Multiple	{Generic, UI, Data, Busi-	$\checkmark$	Is the proposed approach specific for one or more pre-
		choice	ness Logic, Navigation, oth- ers}		defined parts of the system?
MM-10	Language(s) cus-	Single	see Table 4		Mechanisms to import/define/adapt underlying mod-
	tomization	choice			eling language(s) to some specific concepts not origi- nally supported.
MM-11	Validation support	Single choice	{No, rules, OCL, con- straints, Critics}	$\checkmark$	If the approach provides some kind of validation support, over the simply conformance.
MM-12	Reuse granularity	Multiple	$\{Model, Frag, Pack, No\}$	$\checkmark$	Which kind of (cross-models) reuse support does
		choice			the approach provide? {Model = whole model,
					<pre>Frag = model fragment, Pack = multiple models (project/package), No = No support}</pre>
RQ1.2	<b>Collaboration sup-</b>				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	port				
COLL-1	Collaboration type	Multiple	$\{$ Synch, Async $\}$		Type of users interaction in terms of modeling oper-
		choice			ations distribution in the time space [3]: {Synch =
					real-time interaction, if it is possible for two or more
					users work "collaboratively" on the same artifact at
					the same time in a (near) real-time fashion, Async = user can not see in real-time other users operations,
					they must perform an update/checkout action}
COLL-2	Workspace location	Multiple	{Local, Remote}		Type of collaboration in term of users distribution
	-	choice			spatially {Local = users face-to-face co-located in the
					same room, Remote = users "behind" a remote device,
					can collaborate/communicate only by software tools }
COLL-3	Roles	Multiple	see Table 6	$\checkmark$	Does the approach characterize the involved actors in
		choice			the collaboration process by roles?

COLL-4	Versioning support	Single choice	{NoSupport, Generic, Mod- els, Wiki, Adhoc}	√ ∕	If the approach provides a versioning mechanism to keep trace of the artifact versions during the evolu- tion. {Generic = file/text-based versioning, Models = the approach uses an existing models version system, Wiki = the approach keeps versions using a wiki en- gine, Adhoc = the approach uses an adhoc models ver- sion system }
COLL-5	Version Control Sys- tem (VCS) architec- ture	Single choice	{Centralized, Distributed}		Centralized = the VCS has a single server that con- tains all the versioned files, and a number of clients that check out files from that central place, Dis- tributed = clients dont just check out the latest snap- shot of the files: they fully mirror the repository (ver- sioning also "in local"). For more details, see [4].
COLL-6	Branching support	Single choice	{Yes, No}		Branching means you diverge from the main line of development and continue to do work without messing with that main line.
COLL-7	Model merging support	Single choice	{Yes, No}		Support for merging of two or more different artifact versions or branches.
COLL-8	Conflict detection support	Single choice	{Yes, No, Av}		Support for conflict detection: a conflict appears when two users perform two conflicting operations (or two sets of conflicting operations), e.g. one user changes an object name and another user deletes the same object {av = avoided (e.g.using locking, using FIFO schedule, etc), no conflicts detection support}
COLL-9	Conflict resolution type	Multiple choice	{NoSupport, Manual, Auto- matic, Mixed}		Conflict resolution support provided by the approach.
COLL- 10	Collaboration work- flow	Single choice	{Yes, No, Part}		The study prescribes a collaboration workflow (i.e. sequence of steps). {Part = partially described}
COLL- 11	Network architecture	Single choice	{Star with central server, P2P, Mixed}	V	P2P = collaboration without a central server (clients communicates directly each other), Mixed = clients exchange messages/editing operations directly each other, but there is a central server (e.g.used as com- mon repository).

RQ1.3	Communication				
	support				
COM-9	Stakeholder types	Multiple choice	{Techn, NonTech}		Which type of involved stakeholders collaborate thought the approach? {Techn = technical, NonTech = also non technical }
COM-10	Approach-specific stakeholders	Multiple choice	{developer, functional an- alyst, usability expert, domain expert, business process expert, modeling expert, end user, customer, information architect, UI designer, app developer, back-end developer, content producer, project manager, software architect, software engineer, business process stakeholder, domain expert, model engineer, business analyst}	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	Approaches that are dedicated to specific types of stakeholders.
COM-1	Workspace aware- ness tools	Multiple choice	see Table 5	$\checkmark$	Awareness support to other users operations while they are working on the same artifact/project.
COM-2	Workspace aware- ness level	Single choice	{Low, Med, High}		Scoring related to <i>who</i> , <i>what</i> and <i>where</i> is doing some operation on some artifacts inside the workspace [5, Tab.I]. Each of the three categories (who,what,where) counts 1. The level is calculated as who + what + where. {0-1 = Low, 2 = Med, 3 = High}
COM-3	Communication tools: builtin	Multiple choice	{Annotations, Comments, Tags, Reviews, Chat, Call- ForAttention, StickyNotes, Proposals, Audio, Conflict- sTable, Voting, Feedback, Forum}	V	Communication means provided by the approach, in- tegrated in it.

COM-4	Communication tools: external	Multiple choice	{Voice, HandGestures, Av- tool, Email, ExternalChat, Face-to-face, Hyperlinks, Wiki, MultimediaAnnota- tions}	<i>√</i>	Communication means prescribed by the approach, external to it; or communication means provided by the approach as a internal link through external re- sources (e.g. hyperlinks, links to other external docu- ments, etc).
COM-6	Traceability links	Multiple choice	Subset of the communica- tion means: {builtin} ∪ {external}		Subset of the communication means that are linked to artifacts/model elements useful, for example, for de- sign decision traceability.
RQ2	Challenges				
FN-1	Limitations	Open			Set of fragments of the study in which the authors de- scribe limitations of the proposed approach and chal- lenges that should be addressed, both from the collab- orative modeling point of view.
FN-2	Future work	Open			Set of fragments of the study in which the authors describe their future works on collaborative modeling (usually this part of the study is in a dedicated section at the end of the article or in the Conclusions section).
RQ3	<b>Publication trends</b>				
PT-1	Keywords	Open			Keywords and/or Index terms of the primary study (indicated by the authors in the article itself).
PT-2	Venue	Open			The acronym of the venue in which the study has been published (e.g., ICSE, ASE, FASE, MODELS, etc.).
PT-3	Venue (complete name)	Open			The complete name of the venue in which the study has been published.
PT-4	Year	Open			The year of publication of the study.
PT-5	Publisher	Open			The publisher of the study (e.g., IEEE, ACM, etc.).
PT-6	Publication type	Single	{C, J, B, W, M}		The type of publication venue in which the study has been published. {C = Conference, J = Journal, B = Book chapter, W = Workshop, M = Magazine}
PT-7	Citations	Open			Citations' number of the main paper of the study (took from Google Scholar)

Library	Website
IEEE Digital Library	ieeexplore.ieee.org
ACM Digital Library	dl.acm.org
SpringerLink	link.springer.com
ScienceDirect	sciencedirect.com
Wiley Online Library	onlinelibrary.wiley.com
Web of Science	webofknowledge.com

Table 2: Electronic data sources targeted with search strings

Values	Description
no	Not language-specific: the approach provides some kind of mecha-
	nism to import or define one or more domain language(s)
Ecore	Specific for Ecore language, the core meta-model of the Eclipse Mod-
	eling Framework (EMF)
UML	Specific for OMG Unified Modeling Language (or a sub-part of UML)
BPMN	Specific for OMG Business Process Model and Notation (or a sub-
	part of BPMN)
CFR_MBUI	Specific for Model-Based User Interface Development of Cameleon
	Reference Framework
JPA	Specific for the Java Persistence API
ER	Specific for the entity-relationship language
Flowchart	Specific for the flowchart language

Table 3: Language-specific attribute

Values	Description
no	The approach does not provide language customization mechanism.
ex	Extension
import	It is possible to import external language(s) or automatically gener-
	ate the editor starting from a language definition (metamodel)
infer	It is possible to collaborate without knowing a-priori the language,
	e.g. after the upload of a model instance, the approach can derive
	automatically the metamodel (for instance through reflective pro-
	gramming techniques)

Table 4: Language customization

Types	Description
VCS	Awareness provided by the versioning system (e.g.commit messages,
	update notifications, etc)
UpEd	For real-time collaboration, updates from other users directly in the
	editor
HSE	Highlighted selected model elements from other users
Lock	Highlighted locked model elements under editing by other users
Wiki	Awareness provided by the wiki engine (e.g.updates log, users active,
	etc)
Users	List of the users currently active
Ntf	General notifications (e.g.a popup messages, etc)
Status	Status area: the user receives general information about the edit-
	ing operations of other users inside a special area of the client tool
	(e.g.operations' timestamps)
HCE	Highlighted conflict model elements (e.g.two users made two con-
	flicting editing operations on the same model element)
Dashboard	A dedicated area where user can find information about the project,
	in particular about other users' activities (not only 'editing activi-
	ties', e.g.log)
Over	Overview of the model: the user can visually see where other users
	are working on (which parts of the current model)
Colors	Each user has a personal "color": each action or message from that
	user, will be highlighted with that color
Whiteboard	A special area of the client tool where the users can make free-hand
	drawings and sketch something to communicate to others
Pointers	The users can see where other users' mouse pointers are
EmailNotif	The users can receive notifications by email
User_action	The specific action or operation the user is carrying out within the
	workspace, i.e.a link between an operation and who made that spe-
	cific operation
ConflictList	A dedicated area with a list of current conflicts to manage

Table 5: Workspace awareness

Roles	Description		
Facilitator	this group consists of users that can play a key role in any collaborative modelling session. They are senior users that make decision when needed		
(Project) Administrator	users in this group have full access to the artifact being developed including their deletions		
(Server) Administrator	this group refer to users that can administer also users fur- ther than the projects being developed		
Reader	users belonging to such a group can only see developed modelling artifact without having the possibility to operate changes on them		
Integrator	some approaches recognizes such a role representing users, which have the responsibility of performing integration ac- tivities i.e., merging changes operated by different develop- ers and ask them to review and agree the integrated results		
Controller	the controller propagates accepted changes to all members of a given group of users, and changes propagated from the controller are applied on the artifacts stored in the main branch of the considered repository		
Editor	users of such group can read and write their local copy of the (meta)models, and can only read those that are in the main branch of the considered repository		
Project Leader	in case of conflicting changes, some approaches consider a particular kind of users that can start discussions among modelers aiming at mitigating conflicting changes and re- solve them. Project leaders have such a responsibility		
Other	Peculiar roles not categorizable into the previous categories		
No	No roles, all the modelers are "pairs" during the collabora- tion activities		

Table 6: Collaboration roles list

<b>ID</b> P1	Title A Model Repository for Collaborative Modeling with the Jazz Development Platform	Author Bartelt C., Molter G., Schumann T.	Venue Hawaii International Conference on System Sci- ences	2009
P2	FLEXISKETCH TEAM- Collaborative Sketching and Notation Creation on the Fly	Dustin Wuest,Norbert Seyff,Martin Glinz	International Conference on Software Engineer- ing	2015
P3	A World-Wide-Web Architecture for Collaborative Software Design	Nicholas Graham,Hugh D. Stewart,Reza Kopaee,Arthur G. Ryman,Rittu Rasouli	Software Technology and Engineering Practice	199
P4	AME: an Adaptive Modelling Environment as a Collaborative Modelling Tool	Alfonso Garcia Frey, Jean-Sebastien Sottet, Alain Vagner	Engineering interactive computing systems	201
P5	Collaborative Software Engineering on Large-scale models: Requirements and Experience in ModelBus	Prawee Sriplakich,Xavier Blanc,Marie-Pierre Gervais	Applied Computing Pages	200
P6	A case-study of wiki-supported collaborative drafting of business processes models	Selim Erol, Gustaf Neumann	International Conference on Business Informat- ics	201
P7	GenMyModel : An Online UML Case Tool	Michel Dirix, Alexis Muller, Vincent Aranega	European Conferences on Object-Oriented Pro- gramming	201
P8	Design Management: A Collaborative Design Solution	Maged Elaasar, James Conallen	European Conference on Modelling Foundations and Applications	201
P9	CAMEL: A Tool for Collaborative Distributed Software Design	Marcelo Cataldo,Charles Shelton,Yongjoon Choi,Yun- Yin Huang,Vytesh Ramesh,Darpan Saini,Liang-Yun Wang	International Conference on Global Software En- gineering	200
P10	SLIM - A Lightweight Environment for Synchronous Collaborative Model- ing	Christian Thum, Michael Schwind, Martin Schader	Model Driven Engineering Languages and Sys- tems	200
P11	A Web-Based Collaborative Metamodeling Environment with Secure Re- mote Model Access	Matthias Farwick,Berthold Agreiter,Jules White,Simon Forster,Norbert Lanzanasto,Ruth Breu	International Conference Web Engineering	20
P12	Metaedit+ A Fully Configurable Multi-User and Multi-Tool CASE and CAME Environment	Steven Kelly,Kalle Lyytinen,Matti Rossi	International Conference on Advanced Informa- tion Systems	19
P13	Next Generation (Meta)Modeling: Web- and Cloud-based Collaborative Tool Infrastructure	Miklos Maroti, Tamas Kecskes, Robert Kereskenyi, Brian Broll, Peter Volgyesi, Laszlo Juracz, Tihamer Leven- doszky, Akos Ledeczi	Multi-Paradigm Modeling	203
P14	Towards a Collaborative Framework for the Design and Development of Data-Intensive Mobile Applications	Mirco Franzago, Ivano Malavolta, Henry Muccini	International Conference on Mobile Software En- gineering and Systems	20
P15	MUE: Multi User UML Editor	Suhadi Lili, Sutarsa, Siti Rochhimah	Information and Communication Technology Seminar	20
P16	AToMPM: A Web-based Modeling Environment	Eugene Syriani, Hans Vangheluwe, Raphael Manna- diar, Conner Hansen, Simon Van Mierlo, Huseyin Ergin	Model Driven Engineering Languages and Sys- tems	20
P17	CoDesign A Highly Extensible Collaborative Software Modeling Frame- work	diar, Conner Hansen, Simon Van Mierlo, Huseyin Ergin Bang Jae Young, Daniel Popescu, George Edwards, Ne- nad Medvidovic, Naveen Kulkarni, Girish M. Rama, Srinivas Padmanabhuni	tems International Conference on Software Engineer- ing	203
P18	Design and Evaluation of a Service Oriented Architecture-based Applica- tion to Support the Collaborative Edition of UML Class Diagrams	Penichet V.M.R.,J.A.Gallud,R.Tesoriero,M.Lozano	International Conference on Computational Sci- ence	20
P19	Simplifying the Development of Cross-Platform Web User Interfaces by Collaborative Model-based Design	Vivian Genaro Motti,Dave Raggett,Sascha Van Cauwe- laert,Jean Vanderdonckt	Special Interest Group on the Design of Commu- nication	20
P20	Sysiphus: Enabling informal collaboration in global software development	Bernd Bruegge, Allen H. Dutoit, Timo Wolf	International Conference on Global Software En-	20
P21	Unicase an Ecosystem for Unified Software Engineering Research Tools	Bernd Bruegge, Oliver Creighton, Jonas Helming, Max-	gineering Distributed Software Development - Methods and	20
P22	We can work it out: Collaborative Conflict Resolution in Model Versioning	imilian Kogel Petra Brosch, Martina Seidl,Konrad Wieland, Manuel	Tools for Risk Management           European Conference on Computer Supported	20
P23	Towards a Framework for Distributed and Collaborative Modeling	Wimmer, Philip Langer Antonio Cicchetti, Henry Muccini, Patrizio Pelliccione, Alfonso Pierantonio	Cooperative Work International Workshops on Enabling Technolo- gies: Infrastructures for Collaborative Enter-	20
P24	Research of Consistency Maintenance Mechanism in Real-Time Collabo-	Hong-ming Cai, Xiao-feng Ji, Feng-lin Bu	prises Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University (Sci-	20
P25	rative Multi-View Business Modeling Constructing real-time collaborative software engineering tools using CAUST on parhitecture for purporting tool development.	Carl Cook, Neville Churcher	ence) Australasian Computer Science Conference	20
P26	CAISE, an architecture for supporting tool development Distributed Collaborative Modeling Support System Associating UML Di- agrams with Chat Messages	Xu Dongmei, Jun Kurogi, Yoshihide Ohgame, Atsuo Hazeyama	Computer Software and Applications Conference	20
P27	Group Support for Distributed Collaborative Concurrent Software Model- ing	Naoufel Boulila,Bernd Bruegge	International Conference on Automated Software Engineering	20
P28 P29	Model-based Real-time Synchronization A Guide to Map Application Components to Support Multi-User Real-Time Collaboration	Stephan Krusche, Bernd Bruegge Mauro Pichiliani,Celso Hirata	Comparison and Versioning of Software Models International Conference on Collaborative Com- puting: Networking, Applications and Workshar-	20
P30	Collaborative Modeling - A Design Science Approach	Peter Rittgen	ing Hawaii International Conference on System Sci-	20
P31	A Collaborative Mobile Approach for Business Process Elicitation	Nelson Baloian, Gustavo Zurita, Flavia Maria San- toro, Renata Mendes Araujo, Sean Wolfgan, Doulgas	ences International Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design	20
P32	Supporting collaborative learning and problem-solving in a constraint-	Machado, Jose A. Pino Baghaei, Nilufar, Antonija Mitrovic, Warwick Irwin	International Journal of Computer-Supported	20
P33	based CSCL environment for UML class diagrams Collaborative editing of EMF/Ecore meta-models and models: Conflict de- tection, reconciliation, and merging in DiCoMEF	Amanuel A. Koshima, Vincent Englebert	Collaborative Learning Science of Computer Programming	20
P34	Enhancing collaborative synchronous UML modelling with fine-grained versioning of software artefacts	De Lucia,Fasano,Scanniello,Tortora	Journal of Visual Languages and Computing	20
P35	Scaling Up Model Driven Engineering Experience and Lessons Learnt	Vinay Kulkarni, Sreedhar Reddy, Asha Rajbhoj	Model Driven Engineering Languages and Sys- tems	20
P36	D-praxis: A peer-to-peer collaborative model editing framework	Mougenot,Blanc,Gervais	Distributed Applications and Interoperable Sys- tems International Conference	20
P37 P38	A semantically rich approach for collaborative model edition Concurrent Fine-grained Versioning of UML Models	Michaux, Blanc, Shapiro, Sutra De Lucia,Fasano,Scanniello,Tortora	Applied Computing Pages European Conference on Software Maintenance and Reengineering	20
P39	A model-driven development method for collaborative modeling tools	Jesus Gallardo, Crescencio Bravo, Miguel Redondo	Journal of Network and Computer Applications	20
P40 P41	Defining Tasks, Domains and Conversational Acts in CSCW Systems: the SPACE-DESIGN Case Study Pounamu: A meta-tool for exploratory domain-specific visual language tool	Duque,Gallardo,Bravo, Mendes Nianping Zhu, John Grundy, John Hosking, Na Liu,	Journal of Universal Computer Science Journal of Systems and Software	20
P42	development Odyssey-SCM: An integrated software configuration management infras-	Shuping Cao, Akhil Mehra Leonardo Murta, Hamilton Oliveira, Cristine Dantas,	Science of Computer Programming	20
P43	tructure for UML models Building Flexible, Distributed Collaboration Tools using Type-Based Pub-	Luiz Gustavo Lopes, Claudia Werner Christian Heide Damm,Klaus Marius Hansen	Software Engineering	20
P44	lish/Subscribe - The Distributed Knight Case Collaborative Business Process Modeling	Simon Forster, Jakob Pinggera, Barbara Weber	Enterprise Modelling and Information Systems	20
P45	A framework for the collaborative specification of semantically annotated	Chiara Di Francescomarino,Chiara Ghidini,Marco	Architectures Journal of Software Maintenance and Evolution:	20
P46	business processes MoVEing Forward: Towards an Architecture and Processes for a Living	Rospocher,Luciano Serafini,Paolo Tonella Michael Breu, Ruth Breu, Sarah Low	Research and Practice International Journal On Advances in Life Sci-	20
P47	Models Infrastructure Proactive Detection of Higher-Order Software Design Conflicts	Jae young Bang,Nenad Medvidovic	ences Working IEEE / IFIP Conference on Software Ar-	20
P48	Turning Conflicts into Collaboration	Konrad Wieland,Philip Langer,Martina Seidl,Manuel	chitecture" Computer Supported Cooperative Work (The	20
1 40	A many conners into controlation	Wimmer,Gerti Kappel	Journal of Collaborative Computing and Work Practices)	20

Table 7: List of the selected primary studies

#### References

- [1] M. Brambilla, J. Cabot, M. Wimmer, Model-driven software engineering in practice, Vol. 1, Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2012.
- [2] I. ISO, Ieee: Iso/iec/ieee 42010: 2011: Systems and software engineering, architecture description, Proceedings of Technical Report.
- [3] C. A. Ellis, S. J. Gibbs, G. Rein, Groupware: Some issues and experiences, Commun. ACM 34 (1) (1991) 39-58. doi:10.1145/99977.99987.
   URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/99977.99987
- [4] S. Chacon, Pro git, Apress, 2009.
- [5] C. Gutwin, S. Greenberg, A descriptive framework of workspace awareness for real-time groupware, Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW) 11 (3-4) (2002) 411–446.