

STABLE CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE HYPERSURFACES

MARIA FERNANDA ELBERT, BARBARA NELLI, AND HAROLD ROSENBERG

(Communicated by Richard A. Wentworth)

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{N}^{n+1} be a Riemannian manifold with sectional curvatures uniformly bounded from below. When $n = 3, 4$, we prove that there are no complete (strongly) stable H -hypersurfaces, without boundary, provided $|H|$ is large enough. In particular, we prove that there are no complete strongly stable H -hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} without boundary, $H \neq 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a Riemannian manifold \mathcal{N} of dimension $n + 1$ with sectional curvatures uniformly bounded from below; denote by $\sec(\mathcal{N})$ the infimum of the sectional curvatures of \mathcal{N} . Let M be an immersed submanifold of codimension one and let H be the mean curvature of M in the metric induced by the immersion. If H is constant, we call M an H -hypersurface. We prove the following diameter estimate.

Theorem 1. *Let $M^n \subset \mathcal{N}^{n+1}$ be a stable complete H -submanifold, $n = 3, 4$. There exists a constant $c = c(n, H, \sec(\mathcal{N}))$ such that for any $p \in M$ one has: $\text{dist}_M(p, \partial M) \leq c$ whenever $|H| > 2\sqrt{|\min\{0, \sec(\mathcal{N})\}|}$.*

For the definition of stability, see Section 2. Particular cases of the previous Theorem in \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{H}^3 , $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and any homogeneously regular three-manifold are proved in [9], [5], [7], [8], respectively.

We wonder if Theorem 1 holds in all dimensions.

Corollary 1. *Let M^n be a complete stable H -hypersurface of \mathcal{N}^{n+1} . If $n = 3, 4$ and $|H| > 2\sqrt{|\min\{0, \sec(\mathcal{N})\}|}$, then $\partial M \neq \emptyset$.*

In [12] it is proved that an H -hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , with finite total curvature, is minimal, so, if it is stable, it is a hyperplane (cf. [4]). For $n = 3, 4$, we are able to generalize this result in the following sense. We do not need the finite total curvature hypothesis on M , and the ambient space can be any manifold with uniformly bounded sectional curvature, provided the mean curvature $|H|$ is large enough (see Corollary 1).

As a consequence of the diameter estimate in Theorem 1, we have the Maximum Principle at Infinity.

Received by the editors January 24, 2006 and, in revised form, May 17, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C42.

The first author was partially supported by CNPq and Faperj.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{N}^{n+1} have uniformly bounded sectional curvature, $n = 3, 4$. If $|H| > 2\sqrt{|\min\{0, \sec(\mathcal{N})\}|}$ and M_1, M_2 are properly embedded H -hypersurfaces in \mathcal{N}^{n+1} , which bound a connected domain W , then the mean curvature vector points out of W along the boundary of W .*

The proof of Theorem 2 is the same as in [8], where the result is proved for $n = 2$.

After this paper was submitted for publication, we received a preprint of Xu Cheng, where she also establishes our Theorem 1 [3].

2. PROOFS

Let M be an H -hypersurface in a manifold \mathcal{N} and let N be a unit vector field normal to M in \mathcal{N} . The stability operator of M is $L = \Delta + |A|^2 + Ric(N)$, where $Ric(N)$ is the Ricci curvature of the ambient manifold \mathcal{N} in the direction of N and A is the shape operator of the immersion. We say that M is *stable* if

$$-\int_M uLu \geq 0$$

for any smooth function u with compact support on M . Our definition of stability is usually known as *strong stability*. The usual definition of stability (*weak stability*) also requires the test function u to satisfy $\int_M u = 0$. Geodesic spheres in a space form are weakly stable but they are not stable (cf. [2]). We remark that the solutions of the Plateau problem are stable hypersurfaces in our sense as well as any H -hypersurface transverse to some Killing vector field of the ambient manifold. The proof of the latter is standard (cf. for example [7]). For further relations between the two notions of stability, see [1] and [2].

Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the traceless operator $\Phi = A - HI$. One can write the stability operator of M in terms of Φ , namely, $L = \Delta + |\Phi|^2 + nH^2 + Ric(N)$. Since M is stable, there exists a function $u > 0$ on M such that $Lu = 0$ on M (cf. [6]).

Denote by ds^2 the metric on M induced by the immersion in \mathcal{N} and let $d\tilde{s}^2 = u^{2k} ds^2$, with $\frac{5(n-1)}{4n} \leq k < \frac{4}{n-1}$. This choice of k will be justified later. Notice that, in order to have some k satisfying the previous inequality, one needs $n = 3, 4$.

Consider $p \in M$ and let $r > 0$ be such that the intrinsic ball B_r of M , centered at p of ds -radius r , is contained in the interior of M . Let γ be a $d\tilde{s}$ -minimizing geodesic in B_r joining p to ∂B_r . Let a be the ds -length of γ . Then $a \geq r$ and it is enough to prove that there exists a constant $c(n, H, \sec(\mathcal{N}))$ such that $a \leq c$.

Let R and \tilde{R} be the curvature tensors of M in the metrics ds and $d\tilde{s}$, respectively. Choose a basis $\{\tilde{e}_1 = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \tilde{s}}, \tilde{e}_2, \dots, \tilde{e}_n\}$ orthonormal for the metric $d\tilde{s}$, such that $\tilde{e}_2, \dots, \tilde{e}_n$ are parallel along γ and let $\tilde{e}_{n+1} = N$. The basis $\{e_1 = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} = u^k \tilde{e}_1, e_2 = u^k \tilde{e}_2, \dots, e_n = u^k \tilde{e}_n\}$ is orthonormal for the metric ds . Denote by R_{11} and \tilde{R}_{11} the Ricci curvatures in the direction of e_1 for the metrics ds and $d\tilde{s}$, respectively. Let \hat{R} be the curvature tensor of the ambient manifold \mathcal{N} and write $Ric(N) = \hat{R}_{n+1, n+1}$.

Let \tilde{r} be the length of γ in the $d\tilde{s}$ metric. Since γ is $d\tilde{s}$ minimizing, by the second variational formula, one has

$$(1) \quad \int_0^{\tilde{r}} \left[(n-1) \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\tilde{s}} \right)^2 - \tilde{R}_{11} \varphi^2 \right] d\tilde{s} \geq 0,$$

for any smooth function φ such that $\varphi(0) = \varphi(\tilde{r}) = 0$.

As is proved in the Appendix,

$$(2) \quad \tilde{R}_{11} = u^{-2k} \left\{ R_{11} - k(n-2)(\ln u)_{ss} - k\frac{\Delta u}{u} + k\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right\}.$$

Now use that $Lu = (\Delta + |\Phi|^2 + nH^2 + \widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1})u = 0$ to obtain

$$(3) \quad \tilde{R}_{11} = u^{-2k} \left\{ R_{11} - k(n-2)(\ln u)_{ss} + k(|\Phi|^2 + nH^2 + \widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1}) + k\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right\}.$$

From the Gauss equation one has

$$(4) \quad R_{ijij} = \widehat{R}_{ijij} + h_{ii}h_{jj} - h_{ij}^2,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$R_{ijij} = \widehat{R}_{ijij} + (\Phi_{ii} + H)(\Phi_{jj} + H) - (\Phi_{ij} + H\delta_{ij})^2.$$

Taking $i = 1$ and summing up in $j = 2, \dots, n$ we obtain

$$R_{11} = \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} + \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{11}\Phi_{jj} + (n-2)H\Phi_{11} + \sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_{jj}H + (n-1)H^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2.$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_{jj} = 0$, we have

$$R_{11} = \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} - \Phi_{11}^2 + (n-2)H\Phi_{11} + (n-1)H^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2.$$

Replacing the last relation in equation (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{11} &= u^{-2k} \left[\sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} + k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} + (kn+n-1)H^2 + (n-2)H\Phi_{11} \right] \\ &\quad + u^{-2k} \left[k|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 - k(n-2)(\ln u)_{ss} + k\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last equation with inequality (1) gives (by abuse of notation we denote again by φ the composition $\varphi \circ \tilde{s}$; hence $\varphi(0) = \varphi(a) = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 u^{-k} ds &\geq \int_0^a \varphi^2 u^{-k} \left[\sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} + k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} \right] ds \\ &+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 u^{-k} \left[(kn+n-1)H^2 + (n-2)H\Phi_{11} + k|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right] ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^a \varphi^2 u^{-k} \left[k(n-2)(\ln u)_{ss} + k\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Replace φ by $\varphi u^{\frac{k}{2}}$ to get rid of u^k in the denominator. The last relation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds + k(n-1) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds + \frac{k^2(n-1)}{4} \int_0^a \varphi^2 u_s^2 u^{-2} ds \\
 \geq \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[\sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} + k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} \right] ds \\
 (5) \quad + \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[(kn+n-1)H^2 + (n-2)H\Phi_{11} + k|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right] ds \\
 - \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[k(n-2)(\ln u)_{ss} + k \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right] ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts gives

$$\int \varphi^2 (\ln u)_{ss} ds = -2 \int \varphi \varphi_s \frac{u_s}{u} ds.$$

Then, replacing in inequality (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds \geq k(n-3) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \int_0^a \varphi^2 (\ln u^k)_s^2 ds \\
 + k \int_0^a \varphi^2 \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} ds + \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} \right] ds \\
 + \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[(kn+n-1)H^2 + (n-2)H\Phi_{11} + k|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right] ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds \geq k(n-3) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds + \left[\frac{1}{k} - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \right] \int_0^a \varphi^2 (\ln u^k)_s^2 ds \\
 + \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} \right] ds \\
 + \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[(kn+n-1)H^2 + (n-2)H\Phi_{11} + k|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right] ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now use that $a^2 + b^2 \geq -2ab$ with $a = (n-2)H$ and $b = \frac{\Phi_{11}}{2}$ to obtain

$$(n-2)^2 H^2 + \frac{\Phi_{11}^2}{4} \geq -(n-2)H\Phi_{11}.$$

Replacing in inequality (6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds \geq k(n-3) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds + \left[\frac{1}{k} - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \right] \int_0^a \varphi^2 (\ln u^k)_s^2 ds \\
 + \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} + (kn-n^2+5n-5)H^2 + \right] ds \\
 + \int_0^a \left[k|\Phi|^2 - \frac{5}{4}\Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right] ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

We will now prove that the last term in inequality (7) is greater than or equal to zero. We know that

$$|\Phi|^2 \geq \Phi_{11}^2 + \Phi_{22}^2 + \dots + \Phi_{nn}^2 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2$$

and since $\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_{jj} = 0$, we have

$$(8) \quad |\Phi|^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-1} \Phi_{11}^2 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2.$$

Since $k \geq \frac{5(n-1)}{4n}$, using inequality (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k|\Phi|^2 - \frac{5}{4}\Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 &\geq \frac{5}{4}\Phi_{11}^2 + \frac{5(n-1)}{2n} \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 - \frac{5}{4}\Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 = \frac{3n-5}{2n} \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, inequality (7) yields

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds &\geq (n-3) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s (\ln u^k)_s ds + \left[\frac{1}{k} - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \right] \int_0^a \varphi^2 (\ln u^k)_s^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} + (kn - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2 \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

We now use that $a^2 + b^2 \geq -2ab$ with $a = \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi (\ln u^k)_s$ and $b = \frac{(n-3)}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_s$ to obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \right) \varphi^2 (\ln u^k)_s^2 + \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \right)^{-1} \varphi_s^2 \geq -(n-3) \varphi \varphi_s (\ln u^k)_s.$$

The last inequality together with inequality (9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds &\geq -\frac{(n-3)^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{(n-1)}{4} \right)^{-1} \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left[(kn - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2 + k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then setting $A = \frac{4[k(2-n)+(n-1)]}{4-k(n-1)}$ and making a suitable choice of a positive constant B , we can rewrite the last inequality as

$$(10) \quad A \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds \geq B \int_0^a \varphi^2 ds.$$

We remark that A is positive as soon as $k < \frac{4}{n-1} \leq \frac{n-1}{n-2}$. We now want to choose B such that

$$0 < B \leq (kn - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2 + \left(k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} \right).$$

When the curvature of the ambient manifold is nonnegative, we set $B = (kn - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2$, which is positive if $H \neq 0$ (remember that $k > \frac{5(n-1)}{4n}$ and that $n = 3, 4$). In this case we can set $c_1 = 0$.

Otherwise, we proceed as follows. By a straightforward computation one has

$$k\widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{R}_{1j1j} \geq (kn + n - 1) \inf\{\text{sectional curvatures of } \mathcal{N}\} = \sec(\mathcal{N}).$$

Then we set $B = (kn - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2 + (kn + n - 1) \sec(\mathcal{N})$. If

$$(11) \quad H^2 > \frac{kn + n - 1}{kn - n^2 + 5n - 5} \sec(\mathcal{N}),$$

then B is positive. In this case, one can set $c_1 = 2\sqrt{|\sec(\mathcal{N})|}$ (using the restrictions on k one can prove that $\frac{kn+n-1}{kn-n^2+5n-5} < 4$).

Integration by parts in inequality (10) yields

$$\int_0^a (\varphi_{ss}A + B\varphi)\varphi ds \leq 0.$$

Choosing $\varphi = \sin(\pi sa^{-1})$, $s \in [0, a]$ one has

$$\int_0^a \left[B - \frac{A\pi^2}{a^2} \right] \sin^2(\pi sa^{-1}) ds \leq 0.$$

Finally

$$B - \frac{A\pi^2}{a^2} \leq 0,$$

and this gives the desired inequality if we choose

$$c = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{k(2-n) + (n-1)}}{\sqrt{(4 - k(n-1))[(kn - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2 + (kn + n - 1) \min\{0, \sec(\mathcal{N})\}]}}.$$

□

Proof of Corollary 1. Assume that such an M exists. In the proof of Theorem 1, we showed that the radius of an intrinsic disc of M that does not touch ∂M is at most c . Hence, when $\partial M = \emptyset$, the diameter of M is at most c and then M is compact. As M is stable, there exists a positive function f on M such that $L(f) = 0$ (cf. [6]). Let $p \in M$ be a minimum of the function f . At p , one has

$$0 \leq \Delta f(p) = -(|\Phi|^2(p) + nH^2 + \widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1}(p))f(p).$$

By our choice of H , the potential $|\Phi|^2 + nH^2 + \widehat{R}_{n+1,n+1}$ is strictly positive on M ; hence the previous inequality yields a contradiction. □

3. APPENDIX

The transformation law of the curvature under the conformal change of the metric $d\tilde{s}^2 = u^{2k} ds^2$ is the following (cf. [10] page 184 and [11] formula (4)):

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{Ric}\left(\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}, \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}\right) &= \left\{ Ric\left(\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}, \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}\right) - k(n-2) \text{Hess}(\ln u) \left(\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}, \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k^2(n-2) \left| \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}(\ln u) \right|^2 - [k\Delta(\ln u) + k^2(n-2)|\nabla \ln u|^2] u^{-2k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to simplify this equation we need to compute $\nabla_{\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}} \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\tilde{s}}$.

Using the relation between the connections of conformal metrics we obtain

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} = \nabla_{\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} + 2k \langle \nabla \ln u, \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} \rangle \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} - k \nabla \ln u.$$

Since γ is geodesic in the $d\tilde{s}^2$ metric we have that $\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} = 0$ and thus

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} = k \langle \nabla \ln u, \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} \rangle \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}.$$

The last two equations yield

$$(13) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} = k(\nabla \ln u)^\perp,$$

where $(\nabla \ln u)^\perp$ means the component of $\nabla \ln u$ perpendicular to $\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}$. Now we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}(\ln u) \left(\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} \right) &= u^{-2k} \left((\ln u)_{ss} - \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s} \right) \ln u \right) \\ &= u^{-2k} \left((\ln u)_{ss} - k |(\nabla \ln u)^\perp|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we use (13). Replacing this last equation in (12) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{11} &= u^{-2k} \left\{ R_{11} - k(n-2)(\ln u)_{ss} + k^2(n-2)|(\nabla \ln u)^\perp|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k^2(n-2)|(\ln u)_s|^2 - [k\Delta(\ln u) + k^2(n-2)|\nabla \ln u|^2] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{11} &= u^{-2k} \left\{ R_{11} - k(n-2)(\ln u)_{ss} - k\Delta(\ln u) \right\} \\ &= u^{-2k} \left\{ R_{11} - k(n-2)(\ln u)_{ss} - k \frac{\Delta u}{u} + k \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

[1] J.L. BARBOSA, P. BÉRARD: *Eigenvalue and "Twisted" Eigenvalue Problems, Applications to CMC Surfaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 79, 5 (2000) 427-450. MR1759435 (2001f:58064)

[2] J.L. BARBOSA, M. DO CARMO: *Stability of Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature*, Math. Zeit. 185, 3 (1984) 339-353. MR0731682 (85k:58021c)

[3] X. CHENG: *On Constant Mean Curvature Hypersurfaces with Finite Index*, Preprint, to be published in Archiv der Mathematik.

[4] M. DO CARMO, C.K. PENG: *Stable Complete Minimal Hypersurfaces*, Proceedings of the 1980 Beijing Symposium on Diff. Geom. and Diff. Eq., Science Press, Beijing, (1982) 1349-1358. MR0714373 (85e:53007)

[5] R. F. DELIMA, W. MEEKS, III: *Maximum Principles at Infinity for Surfaces of Bounded Mean Curvature in \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{H}^3* , Indiana Univ. Math. Journal 53, 5 (2004) 1211-1223. MR2104275 (2005h:53011)

[6] D. FISCHER-COLBRIE: *On Complete Minimal Surfaces with finite Morse Index in three Manifolds*, Inv. Math. 82 (1985) 121-132. MR0808112 (87b:53090)

[7] B. NELLI, H. ROSENBERG: *Global Properties of Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , to appear in Pacific Jour. of Math. (2004), <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~rosen>.

[8] H. ROSENBERG: *Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces in Homogeneously Regular 3-Manifold*, Preprint (2005) <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~rosen/>. MR1483380

[9] A. ROS, H. ROSENBERG: *Properly Embedded Surfaces with Constant Mean Curvature*, Preprint (2001), <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~rosen>.

[10] R. SCHOEN, S.T. YAU: *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, International Press, (1994). MR1333601 (97d:53001)

- [11] Y. SHEN, S. ZHU: *Rigidity of Stable Minimal Hypersurfaces*, Math. Annalen 399 (1997) 107-116. MR1467649 (98g:53113)
- [12] Y.B. SHEN, X.H. ZHU: *On Complete Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature and Finite L^p -norm Curvature in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}* , Acta Math. Sinica, English series 21, 3 (2005) 631-642. MR2145917 (2006f:53088)

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, RIO DE JANEIRO,
BRAZIL

E-mail address: fernanda@im.ufrj.br

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA E APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DI L'AQUILA, VIA VETOIO,
67010 COPPITO L'AQUILA, ITALY

E-mail address: nelli@univaq.it

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VII, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75251 PARIS, FRANCE

E-mail address: rosen@math.jussieu.fr