

THE STATE OF THE ART OF “BERNSTEIN’S PROBLEM”

Barbara Nelli Marc Soret

Abstract

Whether the only minimal stable complete hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $3 \leq n \leq 7$, are hyperplanes, is an open problem. We describe its historical motivations and our results obtained by exploring it.

1 Historical Background

A minimal hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is a critical point of the area functional with respect to compact support variations. In 1776, Meusnier discovered a geometrical interpretation of a minimal surface in terms of the mean curvature: a minimal surface has mean curvature $H \equiv 0$ at each point. Meusnier’s result holds in any dimension.

Consider a hypersurface of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} described as a graph of a C^2 function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case the area functional is

$$A(f) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2} dv,$$

where dv is the volume element on \mathbb{R}^n . By definition, the graph of f is minimal if and only if f is a critical point of A . It is straightforward that a minimal graph is a minimum of A .

Furthermore, the graph of f is minimal if and only if f satisfies the *Minimal Surface Equation*:

$$(1 + |\nabla f|^2) \sum_{i=1}^n f_{ii} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_i f_j f_{ij} = 0 \quad (1)$$

The following result is known as Bernstein's Theorem:

A complete minimal C^2 graph in \mathbb{R}^3 is a plane.

Bernstein's Theorem was proved by Bernstein in [2] (see also [16] for a different approach). Then he stated Bernstein's conjecture:

If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of the minimal surface equation (1) in \mathbb{R}^n then f is a linear function.

Bernstein's conjecture has been a longstanding problem and it was proved to be true for $n \leq 7$ and false for $n \geq 8$. We recall the main steps of the proof.

A minimal hypersurface is *stable* if it is a local minimum of the area functional with respect to compactly supported deformations. A minimal graph is a global minimum for the area functional, hence it is stable.

Fleming [9] gave a new proof of Bernstein's Theorem, using geometric measure theory. He showed that the existence of a non flat complete minimal graph in \mathbb{R}^3 , yields the existence of a minimal stable non trivial cone in \mathbb{R}^3 . He then proved that such a cone does not exist.

Fleming's results were extended by Almgreen [1] in \mathbb{R}^4 and by Simons [17] in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , for $n \leq 6$. In the same paper, however, Almgreen founded non flat minimal stable cones of codimension one in \mathbb{R}^{2m} , for $m \geq 4$. De Giorgi [6] extended the non existence result to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \leq 7$, proving that the existence of a complete minimal graph in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} implies the existence of a minimal stable cone in the lower dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n .

Finally Bombieri, De Giorgi and Giusti [3] showed the existence of complete minimal graphs in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} for $n \geq 8$. In fact they constructed a calibration from Simons' minimal cones [17] and deduced from it the existence of complete minimal graphs.

2 Some Extensions of Bernstein's Problem

Fleming's proof of Bernstein's Theorem extends to area minimizing hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \leq 6$, but not to minimal stable hypersurfaces. So, it is quite natural to ask the following Bernstein's problem in the parametric case:

Does it exist a complete, minimal, stable, non planar hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ?

The discussion about the parametric Bernstein's problem will be the subject of the rest of this paper.

Let us come back to the non parametric case, for a while, in order to recall the result of Heinz [11]. Heinz studied the solution of (1) on a disk in \mathbb{R}^2 centered at p , of radius R . He proved that there exists an universal constant C such that

$$|A|(p) \leq \frac{C}{R^2}$$

where $|A|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form of the graph.

Heinz' result implies Bernstein's Theorem.

Schoen, Simon and Yau [18] extended Heinz' estimate to area minimizing hypersurfaces of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , up to dimension $n = 5$. Their result implies Bernstein's conjecture for area minimizing hypersurfaces in the appropriate dimension.

When $n = 2$, Do Carmo and Peng [7] and independently Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen [10], proved that a stable minimal surface immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 is a plane.

On the contrary, when $n \geq 8$, the graphs in [3] are stable, minimal, complete, non planar hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

So, we are left with the following parametric Bernstein's problem:

Are the hyperplanes the only minimal, stable, complete hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $3 \leq n \leq 7$?

Before describing our contributions to the parametric Bernstein's problem, we recall some other partial results.

We denote by $B(p, R)$ the ball in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} centered at p of radius R . Schoen, Simon and Yau [18] proved the following very general result about minimal stable hypersurfaces.

SSY-Theorem *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \left[0, 4 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{n}}\right]$, there exists a constant $\beta(q, n)$ satisfying the following condition: if M is a stable minimal hypersurface of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} then, for any $R > 0$, $p \in M$,*

$$\int_{B(p, \frac{R}{2}) \cap M} |A|^q \leq \beta(q, n) R^{-q} \text{vol}(B(p, R) \cap M) \quad (2)$$

Using the same techniques as in the proof of SSY-Theorem, Do Carmo and Peng [8] showed that a minimal, stable, complete hypersurface is a hyperplane if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{B_R} |A|^2}{R^{2+2q}} = 0, \quad q < \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

Cao, Shen and Zhu [5] proved that a minimal, stable, complete hypersurface in the Euclidean space must have only one end. This result has recently been extended to any ambient space with positive sectional curvature by Li and Wang [12]. Q. Chen [4] showed that, if the number of connected components of the intersection between a minimal, stable, complete hypersurface M and any ball of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n = 3, 4$, is bounded by some constant, then M is a hyperplane. D. Zhou and X. Chen have told us that they have recently proved the following: if the L^p norm of the second fundamental form of a minimal, stable, complete hypersurface M in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \leq 4$, $p \geq n$, is bounded, then M is a hyperplane (personal communication).

3 New Results

We have been exploring the problem of existence of non planar, complete, minimal, stable hypersurfaces embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and we have obtained some new results. In this section we state them and we describe briefly the techniques of the proofs. The proofs are essentially contained in [14], [15].

First, we are able to reduce the problem to the bounded curvature case. Let M be a submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $|A|$ the length of the second fundamental form of M . We say that M has *uniformly bounded curvature* if there is a positive constant C such that $|A|(x) \leq C$, $\forall x \in M$.

Theorem 1 *If there exists a non flat, complete, minimal, stable hypersurface, properly immersed in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then there exists such a hypersurface with uniformly*

bounded curvature.

Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of the following curvature estimate (where, we do not assume that M has codimension one).

Theorem 2 *Assume that a complete minimal, stable submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with uniformly bounded curvature is flat. Let M be a properly immersed minimal, stable submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We have*

(i) *if $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, then for any point $p \in M$*

$$|A|(p) \leq \frac{C}{\inf_{q \in \partial M} |p - q|} \quad (3)$$

where C is some universal constant;

(ii) *if M is complete without boundary, then M is flat.*

In the proof of Theorem 2, we use a well known rescaling technique. We assume by contradiction that the universal constant of inequality (3) does not exist. This yields a sequence of minimal hypersurfaces, contradicting inequality (3). We rescale each of them by a homothety whose ratio is essentially the maximum of the norm of its second fundamental form. The rescaled hypersurfaces have uniformly bounded curvature. Then, we can extract a subsequence converging to a minimal stable hypersurface with uniformly bounded curvature and with curvature one at one point. Such hypersurface can not be flat and this gives a contradiction to the assumption (see [15] for the proof).

Next result answers Bernstein's parametric problem in a particular case.

Theorem 3 *Let M be a complete minimal stable hypersurface immersed in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n < 5$. If there exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $p \in M$ and any $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, the number of connected components of $M \cap B(p, \epsilon)$ is bounded by N , then M is a hyperplane.*

Here is an idea of the proof of Theorem 3. By Theorem 1, we can restrict to the case of uniformly bounded curvature. Then, M is locally a graph and by hypothesis, in each extrinsic ball, of radius smaller than ϵ_0 there are a finite

number of such graphs. Hence, the area of M in each ball of radius smaller than ϵ_0 is uniformly bounded. Now, the result follows easily from the Schoen-Simon-Yau's curvature estimate contained in the inequality (2).

We recall the results of [14], that are more significative in this context. We notice that, by Theorem 1, the assumption of uniformly bounded curvature for the hypersurface M is not a strong hypothesis.

Let $r : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 function defined on the hypersurface M and let N be a unit normal vector field to M . We call *Tube* of radius r around M the set

$$T(M, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \exists p \in M, x = p + tN(p), t \leq r(p)\}.$$

In our opinion, strong evidence for the non existence of a non planar, embedded, minimal stable hypersurface is given by the following Theorem.

Theorem 4 *Let M be a non planar, stable, minimal hypersurface embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \leq 5$, with uniformly bounded curvature. Fix a point σ in M and denote by $d(p, \sigma)$ the intrinsic distance between σ and any point $p \in M$. Let $0 < c_1 \leq 1$, $c_2 > 0$, $\delta \geq 1$ and consider any C^2 function r on M such that $r(p) \geq \inf\{c_1|A(p)|^{-1}, c_2d(p, \sigma)^\delta\}$. Then the tube $T(M, r)$, is not embedded.*

Theorem 4 means that the subfocal tube of a non planar minimal, stable hypersurface embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \leq 5$, cannot be embedded. More precisely: if $n \leq 4$, such hypersurfaces admit no embedded tube of constant radius, whatever small the radius is .

However, the following Theorem shows that, assuming a further hypothesis on the embedding, such hypersurfaces admit an embedded tube whose radius decays sufficiently fast.

Theorem 5 *Let M be a minimal, non planar hypersurface embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \leq 5$, with uniformly bounded curvature.*

(i) *If M is stable and there is an Euclidean ball $B(p)$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} centered at a point $p \in M$ such that $B(p) \cap M$ consists of a finite number of connected components, then there exists an embedded tube around M .*

(ii) If M is not stable, then either M is proper, or M is properly embedded in a open set bounded by a complete minimal stable hypersurface (possibly multiply-connected).

Let us say some words about the proof of Theorem 4 (see Theorem 1 in [14]). We call $T(R, r)$ the tube around a ball of radius R of M . If such a tube were embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , the order of its volume in terms of R should be at most $n + 1$. We then compute the volume of $T(R, r)$ in terms of the integral of the norm of the second fundamental form of M . By a subtle application of inequality (2), one obtains that the order of the volume of $T(R, r)$ is larger than $n + 1$, hence $T(R, r)$ cannot be embedded.

For the proof of (i) of Theorem 5 we use a purely topological argument, while (ii) is a generalization to higher dimension of a result about laminations, contained in [13]. In fact, the result in [13] is much stronger than ours, because there, the authors can use the parametric form of Bernstein's Theorem in dimension two (see Theorem 2 in [14] for details).

References

- [1] Almgreen, F. J., *Some interior regularity theorems for minimal surfaces and an extension of Bernstein's theorem*, Ann. of Math. 85, (1966), 277-292.
- [2] Bernstein, *Sur un théorème de géométrie et ses applications aux équations aux dérivées partielles du type elliptique*, Comm. de la Soc. Math. de Kharkov (2ème sér) 15 (1915-1917), 38-45.
- [3] Bombieri, E., de Giorgi, E., Giusti, E., *Minimal cones and the Bernstein problem*, Inv. Math. 82, (1968), 243-269.
- [4] Chen, Q., *Curvature estimates for stable minimal hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{R}^5* , Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 19, (2001), 177-184.

- [5] Cao, H., Shen, Y., Zhu, S., *The structure of stable minimal hypersurfaces in R^{n+1}* , Math. Res. Lett Math. 4, (1997), 637-644.
- [6] De Giorgi, E., *Una estensione del teorema di Bernstein*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa 19, (1965), 79-85.
- [7] Do Carmo, M., Peng, C., *Stable complete minimal surfaces in R^3 are planes* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 1, 6, (1979), 903-906.
- [8] Do Carmo, M., Peng, C. K., *Stable complete minimal hypersurfaces*, Proc. of the 1980 Symposium of Differential Geometry, Beijing 1,2,3, (1982), 1349-1358.
- [9] Fleming, W. H., *On the oriented Plateau problem*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 9, (1962), 69-89.
- [10] Fischer-Colbrie, D., Schoen, R., *The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of non negative scalar curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33, (1980), 121-132.
- [11] Heinz, E., *Über losungen der minimalflächengleichung*, Nachr. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Phys. Kl. II, (1952), 51-56.
- [12] Li, P., Wang, J., *Minimal hypersurfaces with finite index* Math. Res. Lett. 9, (2002), 95-103.
- [13] Meeks, W. K., Rosenberg, H., *The uniqueness of the helicoid and the asymptotic geometry of properly embedded minimal surfaces with finite topology* Preprint 2001.
- [14] Nelli, B., Soret, M., *Stably embedded minimal hypersurfaces*, to be published on Math. Zeit.
- [15] Nelli, B., Soret, M., *A principle in minimal surface theory*, Preprint (2004).
- [16] Osserman, R., *A Survey in minimal surfaces*, Dover Publications Inc., NY, (1986).

- [17] Simons, J., *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 88, (1968), 62-105.
- [18] Schoen, R., Simon, L., Yau, S. T., *Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces*, Acta Math. Vol 134, (1975), 275-288.
- [19] Schoen, R., Simon, L., *Seminar on Minimal Submanifolds*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1983).

Dipartimento di Matematica Pura
e Applicata, Università di L'Aquila
Italy
nelli@univaq.it

Dép. de Mathématiques
Université F. Rabelais
Tours, France
marc@gargan.math.univ-tours.fr