Let G be a finite group of order m and let  $g \in G$ .

Define the set:

$$\langle g \rangle = \{g^0, g^1, g^2, \dots, g^m\}$$

How many elements are in  $\langle g \rangle$ ?

Let G be a finite group of order m and let  $g \in G$ .

Define the set:

$$\langle g \rangle = \{g^0, g^1, g^2, \dots, g^m\}$$

How many elements are in  $\langle g \rangle$ ?

• If g is the identity element, then  $g^0 = 1$ ,  $g^1 = 1$ ,  $g^2 = 1$ , ...

Let G be a finite group of order m and let  $g \in G$ .

Define the set:

$$\langle g \rangle = \{g^0, g^1, g^2, \dots, g^m\}$$

How many elements are in  $\langle g \rangle$ ?

• If g is the identity element, then  $g^0 = 1$ ,  $g^1 = 1$ ,  $g^2 = 1$ , ...  $\implies$  it can happen that  $|\langle g \rangle| = 1$ 

Let G be a finite group of order m and let  $g \in G$ .

Define the set:

$$\langle g \rangle = \{g^0, g^1, g^2, \dots, g^m\}$$

How many elements are in  $\langle g \rangle$ ?

- If g is the identity element, then  $g^0 = 1$ ,  $g^1 = 1$ ,  $g^2 = 1$ , ...  $\implies$  it can happen that  $|\langle g \rangle| = 1$
- On the other hand, we know that  $g^m = 1$ , hence. . .

 $g^m = g^0$ ,  $g^{m+1} = g$ ,  $g^{m+2} = g^2$ 

Let G be a finite group of order m and let  $g \in G$ .

Define the set:

$$\langle g \rangle = \{g^0, g^1, g^2, \dots, g^m\}$$

How many elements are in  $\langle g \rangle$ ?

- If g is the identity element, then  $g^0 = 1$ ,  $g^1 = 1$ ,  $g^2 = 1$ , ...  $\implies$  it can happen that  $|\langle g \rangle| = 1$
- On the other hand, we know that  $g^m = 1$ , hence...

$$g^m = g^0, \ g^{m+1} = g, \ g^{m+2} = g^2 \implies |\langle g \rangle| \le m$$

Let G be a finite group of order m and let  $g \in G$ .

Define the set:

$$\langle g \rangle = \{g^0, g^1, g^2, \dots, g^m\}$$

How many elements are in  $\langle g \rangle$ ?

- If g is the identity element, then  $g^0 = 1$ ,  $g^1 = 1$ ,  $g^2 = 1$ , ...  $\implies$  it can happen that  $|\langle g \rangle| = 1$
- On the other hand, we know that  $g^m = 1$ , hence...

$$g^m = g^0, \ g^{m+1} = g, \ g^{m+2} = g^2 \implies |\langle g \rangle| \le m$$

If  $\langle g \rangle$  contains all m elements, then g is a **generator** of G.

• We can obtain all elements in G (in **some** order) by exponentiating g.

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

#### **Examples:**

• Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)?

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)?
  - Is 1 a generator?

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)?
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No
- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_9^*$  cyclic (under multiplication modulo 9)?

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No
- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_9^*$  cyclic (under multiplication modulo 9)?
  - Is 4 a generator?

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No
- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_9^*$  cyclic (under multiplication modulo 9)?
  - Is 4 a generator?  $\langle 4 \rangle = \{1, 4, 7\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_N$  No

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No
- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_9^*$  cyclic (under multiplication modulo 9)?
  - Is 4 a generator?  $\langle 4 \rangle = \{1, 4, 7\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_N$  No
  - Is 2 a generator?

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No
- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_9^*$  cyclic (under multiplication modulo 9)? Yes!
  - Is 4 a generator?  $\langle 4 \rangle = \{1, 4, 7\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_N$  No
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5\} = \mathbb{Z}_N$  Yes

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No
- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_9^*$  cyclic (under multiplication modulo 9)? Yes!
  - Is 4 a generator?  $\langle 4 \rangle = \{1, 4, 7\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_N$  No
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5\} = \mathbb{Z}_N$  Yes
  - Is 5 a generator?

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No
- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_9^*$  cyclic (under multiplication modulo 9)? Yes!
  - Is 4 a generator?  $\langle 4 \rangle = \{1, 4, 7\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_N$  No
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5\} = \mathbb{Z}_N$  Yes
  - Is 5 a generator?  $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5, 7, 8, 4, 2\} = \mathbb{Z}_N$  Yes

Yes

If G has a generator, then G is called a **cyclic group** 

- A cyclic group can have multiple generators
- Not every element of a cyclic group is a generator

#### **Examples:**

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  cyclic (under addition modulo 8)? Yes!
  - Is 1 a generator?  $\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\} = \mathbb{Z}_8$  Yes
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_8$  No
- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_9^*$  cyclic (under multiplication modulo 9)? Yes!
  - Is 4 a generator?  $\langle 4 \rangle = \{1, 4, 7\} \neq \mathbb{Z}_N$  No
  - Is 2 a generator?  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5\} = \mathbb{Z}_N$  Yes
  - Is 5 a generator?  $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5, 7, 8, 4, 2\} = \mathbb{Z}_N$

Notice that the elements are generated in a different order

• Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic?

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic?

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

Proof: Fix a  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$  and let i be the smallest positive integer such that  $g^i = 1$ . This integer exists by Fermat's little theorem and we have i > 1 since  $g^1 = g \neq 1$ .

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

Proof: Fix a  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$  and let i be the smallest positive integer such that  $g^i = 1$ . This integer exists by Fermat's little theorem and we have i > 1 since  $g^1 = g \neq 1$ .  $g^p = 1$ 

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

Proof: Fix a  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$  and let i be the smallest positive integer such that  $g^i = 1$ . This integer exists by Fermat's little theorem and we have i > 1 since  $g^1 = g \neq 1$ .  $g^p = 1 \implies g^{p \mod i} = 1$ 

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1, 5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

Proof: Fix a  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$  and let i be the smallest positive integer such that  $g^i = 1$ . This integer exists by Fermat's little theorem and we have i > 1 since  $g^1 = g \neq 1$ .  $g^p = 1 \implies g^{p \mod i} = 1$ 

Since  $p \mod i < i$ , the choice of i ensures that  $p \mod i = 0$ 

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1,5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

Proof: Fix a  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$  and let i be the smallest positive integer such that  $g^i = 1$ . This integer exists by Fermat's little theorem and we have i > 1 since  $g^1 = g \neq 1$ .  $g^p = 1 \implies g^{p \mod i} = 1$ Since  $p \mod i < i$ , the choice of i ensures that  $p \mod i = 0 \implies i$  is a divisor of p

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1,5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

Proof: Fix a  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$  and let i be the smallest positive integer such that  $g^i = 1$ . This integer exists by Fermat's little theorem and we have i > 1 since  $g^1 = g \neq 1$ .  $g^p = 1 \implies g^{p \mod i} = 1$ Since  $p \mod i < i$ , the choice of i ensures that  $p \mod i = 0 \implies i$  is a divisor of p

The only divisor of p greater than 1 is p

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1,5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

Proof: Fix a  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$  and let i be the smallest positive integer such that  $g^i = 1$ . This integer exists by Fermat's little theorem and we have i > 1 since  $g^1 = g \neq 1$ .  $g^p = 1 \implies g^{p \mod i} = 1$ Since  $p \mod i < i$ , the choice of i ensures that  $p \mod i = 0 \implies i$  is a divisor of p

The only divisor of p greater than 1 is  $p \implies i = p$ 

- Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$  cyclic? No. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 
  - $\langle 5 \rangle = \{1,5\}$
  - $\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7\}$
  - $\langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 11\}$

When is a a group cyclic? A sufficient condition:

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

Proof: Fix a  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$  and let i be the smallest positive integer such that  $g^i = 1$ . This integer exists by Fermat's little theorem and we have i > 1 since  $g^1 = g \neq 1$ .  $g^p = 1 \implies g^{p \mod i} = 1$ Since  $p \mod i < i$ , the choice of i ensures that  $p \mod i = 0 \implies i$  is a divisor of p

The only divisor of p greater than 1 is  $p \implies i = p \implies g$  is a generator.

## Cyclic Groups: Sufficient Conditions

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

**Theorem:** If p is prime then  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is cyclic

### Cyclic Groups: Sufficient Conditions

**Theorem:** Any group of prime order p is cyclic, and every non-identity element is a generator

**Theorem:** If p is prime then  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is cyclic

Notice that the order of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is  $\phi(p) = p - 1$ , which is not prime (for p > 3)

### Cyclic Groups: Sampling and Discrete Logarithms

Let G be a cyclic group of order m, and let g be a generator

• We can easily sample (u.a.r.) an element h from G
### Cyclic Groups: Sampling and Discrete Logarithms

Let G be a cyclic group of order m, and let g be a generator

- We can easily sample (u.a.r.) an element h from G
  - Choose  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  u.a.r.
  - Compute  $h = g^x$
  - Return h

#### Cyclic Groups: Sampling and Discrete Logarithms

Let G be a cyclic group of order m, and let g be a generator

- We can easily sample (u.a.r.) an element h from G
  - Choose  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  u.a.r.
  - Compute  $h = g^x$
  - Return h
- Given an element  $h \in G$ , there is a unique value  $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  such that  $g^x = h$

#### Cyclic Groups: Sampling and Discrete Logarithms

Let G be a cyclic group of order m, and let g be a generator

• We can easily sample (u.a.r.) an element h from G

- Choose  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  u.a.r.
- Compute  $h = g^x$
- Return h
- Given an element  $h \in G$ , there is a unique value  $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  such that  $g^x = h$

**Definition:** the discrete logarithm of h with respect to g (in the group G of order m) is denoted by  $\log_q h$  and is the unique value  $x \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$  such that  $g^x = h$ .

What is  $\log_2 9$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?

What is  $\log_2 9$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?

 $2^0 = 1$   $2^1 = 2$   $2^2 = 4$   $2^3 = 8$   $2^4 = 5$   $2^5 = 10$   $2^6 = 9$ 

What is  $\log_2 9$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?  $\log_2 9 = 6$ 

 $2^0 = 1$   $2^1 = 2$   $2^2 = 4$   $2^3 = 8$   $2^4 = 5$   $2^5 = 10$   $2^6 = 9$ 

What is  $\log_2 9$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?  $\log_2 9 = 6$ 

 $2^0 = 1$   $2^1 = 2$   $2^2 = 4$   $2^3 = 8$   $2^4 = 5$   $2^5 = 10$   $2^6 = 9$ 

What is  $\log_8 6$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?

What is  $\log_2 9$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?  $\log_2 9 = 6$ 

 $2^0 = 1$   $2^1 = 2$   $2^2 = 4$   $2^3 = 8$   $2^4 = 5$   $2^5 = 10$   $2^6 = 9$ 

What is  $\log_8 6$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?

 $8^0 = 1$   $8^1 = 8$   $8^2 = 9$   $8^3 = 6$ 

What is  $\log_2 9$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?  $\log_2 9 = 6$   $2^0 = 1$   $2^1 = 2$   $2^2 = 4$   $2^3 = 8$   $2^4 = 5$   $2^5 = 10$   $2^6 = 9$ What is  $\log_8 6$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ?  $\log_8 6 = 3$  $8^0 = 1$   $8^1 = 8$   $8^2 = 9$   $8^3 = 6$ 

What is  $\log_2 9$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ? $\log_2 9 = 6$  $2^0 = 1$  $2^1 = 2$  $2^2 = 4$  $2^3 = 8$  $2^4 = 5$  $2^5 = 10$  $2^6 = 9$ What is  $\log_8 6$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ? $\log_8 6 = 3$  $8^0 = 1$  $8^1 = 8$  $8^2 = 9$  $8^3 = 6$ 

What is  $\log_2 1656755742$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^*_{3092091139}$ ?

What is  $\log_2 9$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ? $\log_2 9 = 6$  $2^0 = 1$  $2^1 = 2$  $2^2 = 4$  $2^3 = 8$  $2^4 = 5$  $2^5 = 10$  $2^6 = 9$ What is  $\log_8 6$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*$ ? $\log_8 6 = 3$ 

 $8^0 = 1$   $8^1 = 8$   $8^2 = 9$   $8^3 = 6$ 

What is  $\log_2 1656755742$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^*_{3092091139}$ ?



The discrete logarithm problem in G: given a generator g and an element h, compute  $\log_q h$ 

The discrete logarithm problem in G: given a generator g and an element h, compute  $\log_g h$ 

**Discrete logarithm assumption in** G (informal): Solving the discrete logarithm problem in G is hard when h is chosen u.a.r.

The discrete logarithm problem in G: given a generator g and an element h, compute  $\log_g h$ 

**Discrete logarithm assumption in** G (informal): Solving the discrete logarithm problem in G is hard when h is chosen u.a.r.

How do we formalize this?

The discrete logarithm problem in G: given a generator g and an element h, compute  $\log_q h$ 

**Discrete logarithm assumption in** G (informal): Solving the discrete logarithm problem in G is hard when h is chosen u.a.r.

How do we formalize this?

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a polynomial-time group-generation algorithm that takes  $1^n$  as input, and outputs:

- (a description of) a cyclic group G;
- the order q of G with  $\log q \ge n$ ;
- a generator g of G.

## The Discrete Logarithm Assumption

For a group-generation algorithm  $\mathcal{G}$  and an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , define the experiment  $\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n)$  as:

- Run  $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$  to obtain (G, q, g), where G is a cyclic group of order q (and q is an n-bit integer), and g is a generator of G.
- Choose a uniform  $h \in G$ .
- G, q, g and h are given to  $\mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $x \in \{0, \dots q-1\}$
- The outcome of the experiment is 1 if  $g^x = h$ . Otherwise the outcome is 0.

## The Discrete Logarithm Assumption

For a group-generation algorithm  $\mathcal{G}$  and an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , define the experiment  $\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n)$  as:

- Run  $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$  to obtain (G, q, g), where G is a cyclic group of order q (and q is an n-bit integer), and g is a generator of G.
- Choose a uniform  $h \in G$ .
- G, q, g and h are given to  $\mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $x \in \{0, \dots q-1\}$
- The outcome of the experiment is 1 if  $g^x = h$ . Otherwise the outcome is 0.

**Definition** The discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$  if, for every probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a negligible function  $\varepsilon$  such that

 $\Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n) = 1] \le \varepsilon(n).$ 

## The Discrete Logarithm Assumption

For a group-generation algorithm  $\mathcal{G}$  and an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , define the experiment  $\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n)$  as:

- Run  $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$  to obtain (G, q, g), where G is a cyclic group of order q (and q is an n-bit integer), and g is a generator of G.
- Choose a uniform  $h \in G$ .
- G, q, g and h are given to  $\mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $x \in \{0, \dots q-1\}$
- The outcome of the experiment is 1 if  $g^x = h$ . Otherwise the outcome is 0.

**Definition** The discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$  if, for every probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a negligible function  $\varepsilon$  such that

 $\Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n)=1] \le \varepsilon(n).$ 

The discrete logarithm assumption: there exists a group-generation algorithm  $\mathcal{G}$  for which the discrete-logarithm problem is hard.

We need two more related (but not equivalent) assumptions:

 $\label{eq:Given} \mbox{Given } g, h_1, h_2 \in G \mbox{, define:} \quad \mbox{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ 

We need two more related (but not equivalent) assumptions:

Given  $g, h_1, h_2 \in G$ , define:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ 

In other words, if  $g^{x_1} = h_1$  and  $g^{x_2} = h_2$  then:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{x_1 \cdot x_2}$ 

We need two more related (but not equivalent) assumptions:

Given  $g, h_1, h_2 \in G$ , define:  $DH_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ In other words, if  $g^{x_1} = h_1$  and  $g^{x_2} = h_2$  then:  $DH_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{x_1 \cdot x_2} = h_1^{x_2} = h_2^{x_1}$ 

We need two more related (but not equivalent) assumptions:

Given  $g, h_1, h_2 \in G$ , define:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ In other words, if  $g^{x_1} = h_1$  and  $g^{x_2} = h_2$  then:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{x_1 \cdot x_2} = h_1^{x_2} = h_2^{x_1}$ 

We need two more related (but not equivalent) assumptions:

Given  $g, h_1, h_2 \in G$ , define:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ In other words, if  $g^{x_1} = h_1$  and  $g^{x_2} = h_2$  then:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{x_1 \cdot x_2} = h_1^{x_2} = h_2^{x_1}$ 

The **Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem** is that of computing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  given a group G, a generator g, and two elements  $h_1$ , and  $h_2$  chosen u.a.r. from G

**Definition** The CDH problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$  if, for every probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a negligible function  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, h_1, h_2) = \mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2)] = \varepsilon(n),$$

where the probabilities are taken over the experiment in which  $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$  outputs (G, q, g), and uniform  $h_1, h_2 \in G$  are chosen.

We need two more related (but not equivalent) assumptions:

Given  $g, h_1, h_2 \in G$ , define:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ In other words, if  $g^{x_1} = h_1$  and  $g^{x_2} = h_2$  then:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{x_1 \cdot x_2} = h_1^{x_2} = h_2^{x_1}$ 

The **Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem** is that of computing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  given a group G, a generator g, and two elements  $h_1$ , and  $h_2$  chosen u.a.r. from G

**Definition** The CDH problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$  if, for every probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a negligible function  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, h_1, h_2) = \mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2)] = \varepsilon(n),$$

where the probabilities are taken over the experiment in which  $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$  outputs (G, q, g), and uniform  $h_1, h_2 \in G$  are chosen.

**The CDH assumption:** there exists a group-generation algorithm  $\mathcal{G}$  for which the CDH problem is hard

Given  $g, h_1, h_2 \in G$ , define:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ 

The **Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem** is that of distinguishing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  (computed as above) from an element chosen u.a.r. from G

Given  $g, h_1, h_2 \in G$ , define:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ 

The **Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem** is that of distinguishing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  (computed as above) from an element chosen u.a.r. from G

**Definition** The DDH problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$  if, for every probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a negligible function  $\varepsilon$  such that

 $\left| \operatorname{Pr}[\mathcal{A}(G,q,g,g^{x},g^{y},g^{z})=1] - \operatorname{Pr}[\mathcal{A}(G,q,g,g^{x},g^{y},g^{xy})=1] \right| \leq \varepsilon(n),$ 

where the probabilities are taken over the experiment in which  $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$  outputs (G, q, g), and then uniform  $x, y, z \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$  are chosen (therefore  $g^x$  and  $g^y$  are uniformly distributed in G).

Given  $g, h_1, h_2 \in G$ , define:  $\mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2) = g^{\log_g h_1 \cdot \log_g h_2}$ 

The **Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem** is that of distinguishing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  (computed as above) from an element chosen u.a.r. from G

**Definition** The DDH problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$  if, for every probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a negligible function  $\varepsilon$  such that

 $\left| \operatorname{Pr}[\mathcal{A}(G,q,g,g^{x},g^{y},g^{z})=1] - \operatorname{Pr}[\mathcal{A}(G,q,g,g^{x},g^{y},g^{xy})=1] \right| \leq \varepsilon(n),$ 

where the probabilities are taken over the experiment in which  $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$  outputs (G, q, g), and then uniform  $x, y, z \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$  are chosen (therefore  $g^x$  and  $g^y$  are uniformly distributed in G).

The DDH assumption: there exists a group-generation algorithm  $\mathcal{G}$  for which the DDH problem is hard

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is that of computing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  given a group G, a generator g, and two elements  $h_1$ , and  $h_2$  chosen u.a.r. from G

• What is  $DH_2(7,5)$  in  $Z_{11}^*$ ?

- What is  $DH_2(7,5)$  in  $Z_{11}^*$ ?
- $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6\}$

- What is  $\mathsf{DH}_2(7,5)$  in  $Z_{11}^*$ ?
- $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6\}$
- $\log_2 7 = 7$  and  $\log_2 5 = 4$

- What is  $\mathsf{DH}_2(7,5)$  in  $Z_{11}^*$ ?
- $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6\}$
- $\log_2 7 = 7$  and  $\log_2 5 = 4$
- $2^{7\cdot 4} = 2^{28} = 2^{28 \mod \phi(\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*)} = 2^{28 \mod 10} = 2^8 = 3$

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is that of computing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  given a group G, a generator g, and two elements  $h_1$ , and  $h_2$  chosen u.a.r. from G

- What is  $\mathsf{DH}_2(7,5)$  in  $Z_{11}^*$ ?
- $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6\}$
- $\log_2 7 = 7$  and  $\log_2 5 = 4$
- $2^{7\cdot 4} = 2^{28} = 2^{28 \mod \phi(\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*)} = 2^{28 \mod 10} = 2^8 = 3$

You have polynomial-time to figure that out with non-negligible probability (in a suitable group)

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is that of computing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  given a group G, a generator g, and two elements  $h_1$ , and  $h_2$  chosen u.a.r. from G

- What is  $\mathsf{DH}_2(7,5)$  in  $Z_{11}^*$ ?
- $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6\}$
- $\log_2 7 = 7$  and  $\log_2 5 = 4$
- $2^{7\cdot 4} = 2^{28} = 2^{28 \mod \phi(\mathbb{Z}_{11}^*)} = 2^{28 \mod 10} = 2^8 = 3$

You have polynomial-time to figure that out with non-negligible probability (in a suitable group)

**CDH** assumption: no algorithm can do that (in a suitable group)

The **Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem** is that of distinguishing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  (computed as above) from an element chosen u.a.r. from G

- I'm considering the group  $\mathbb{Z}^*_{3092091139}$  and I'm interested in the value  $\mathsf{DH}_2(1656755742, 938640663)$
- Is 1994993011 the correct answer, or did I just give you a random element from  $\mathbb{Z}^*_{3092091139}$ ?

The **Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem** is that of distinguishing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  (computed as above) from an element chosen u.a.r. from G

- I'm considering the group  $\mathbb{Z}^*_{3092091139}$  and I'm interested in the value  $\mathsf{DH}_2(1656755742, 938640663)$
- Is 1994993011 the correct answer, or did I just give you a random element from  $\mathbb{Z}^*_{3092091139}$ ?

You have polynomial-time to figure that out (with a non-negligible advantage over random guessing)

The **Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem** is that of distinguishing  $DH_g(h_1, h_2)$  (computed as above) from an element chosen u.a.r. from G

- I'm considering the group  $\mathbb{Z}^*_{3092091139}$  and I'm interested in the value  $\mathsf{DH}_2(1656755742, 938640663)$
- Is 1994993011 the correct answer, or did I just give you a random element from  $\mathbb{Z}^*_{3092091139}$ ?

You have polynomial-time to figure that out (with a non-negligible advantage over random guessing)

**DDH** assumption: no algorithm can do that (in a suitable group)
### Relating the Discrete Logarithm and the DH Problems

The discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{G}}$ 

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

The Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

### Relating the Discrete Logarithm and the DH Problems



The Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

### Relating the Discrete Logarithm and the DH Problems



 $\Rightarrow$ 

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ 

The discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$ 

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

The discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{G}}$ 

Proof: We show that a polynomial-time algorithm A that solves the discrete-logarithm problem (i.e., wins the DLog experiment with non-negligible probability) can be used to solve the CDH problem

Suppose that discrete-logarithm problem is not hard w.r.t. G and consider an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

 $\Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n) = 1] = \varepsilon(n)$  where  $\varepsilon(n)$  is not negligible.

 $\Rightarrow$ 

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ 

The discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

Proof: We show that a polynomial-time algorithm A that solves the discrete-logarithm problem (i.e., wins the DLog experiment with non-negligible probability) can be used to solve the CDH problem

Suppose that discrete-logarithm problem is not hard w.r.t. G and consider an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

 $\Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n) = 1] = \varepsilon(n)$  where  $\varepsilon(n)$  is not negligible.

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G, q, g, h_1, h_2$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, h_1$  to compute a candidate  $x_1 = \log_q h_1$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs  $h_2^{x_1}$  (recall that  $h_2^{x_1} = (g^{\log_g h_2})^{x_1} = g^{\log_g h_2 \cdot \log_g h_1} = \mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2)$ )

 $\Rightarrow$ 

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ 

The discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

Proof: We show that a polynomial-time algorithm A that solves the discrete-logarithm problem (i.e., wins the DLog experiment with non-negligible probability) can be used to solve the CDH problem

Suppose that discrete-logarithm problem is not hard w.r.t. G and consider an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

 $\Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n) = 1] = \varepsilon(n)$  where  $\varepsilon(n)$  is not negligible.

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G, q, g, h_1, h_2$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, h_1$  to compute a candidate  $x_1 = \log_q h_1$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs  $h_2^{x_1}$  (recall that  $h_2^{x_1} = (g^{\log_g h_2})^{x_1} = g^{\log_g h_2 \cdot \log_g h_1} = \mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2)$ )

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, h_1, h_2) = \mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2)] \ge \Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G}}(n) = 1]$ (If  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds then  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds)

 $\Rightarrow$ 

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ 

The discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

Proof: We show that a polynomial-time algorithm A that solves the discrete-logarithm problem (i.e., wins the DLog experiment with non-negligible probability) can be used to solve the CDH problem

Suppose that discrete-logarithm problem is not hard w.r.t. G and consider an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

 $\Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{G}}(n) = 1] = \varepsilon(n)$  where  $\varepsilon(n)$  is not negligible.

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G, q, g, h_1, h_2$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, h_1$  to compute a candidate  $x_1 = \log_q h_1$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs  $h_2^{x_1}$  (recall that  $h_2^{x_1} = (g^{\log_g h_2})^{x_1} = g^{\log_g h_2 \cdot \log_g h_1} = \mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2)$ )

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, h_1, h_2) = \mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2)] \ge \Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G}}(n) = 1] = \varepsilon(n) \qquad \text{non-negligible!}$ (If  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds then  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds)

The Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ 

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is hard relative to 
$$\mathcal{G}$$

Proof: We show that a polynomial-time algorithm A that solves the CDH problem (with non-negligible probability) can be used to solve the DDH problem

 $\Rightarrow$ 

Suppose that CDH problem is not hard w.r.t.  ${\cal G}$  and consider an algorithm  ${\cal A}$  such that

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, h_1, h_2) = \mathsf{DH}_g(h_1, h_2)] = \varepsilon(n), \text{ where } \varepsilon(n) \text{ is not negligible.}$ 

The Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\mathsf{The }}{\mathsf{proble}}$$

Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) em is hard relative to  ${\cal G}$ 

Proof: We show that a polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  that solves the CDH problem (with non-negligible probability) can be used to solve the DDH problem

Suppose that CDH problem is not hard w.r.t.  $\mathcal{G}$  and consider an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, h_1, h_2) = \mathsf{DH}_q(h_1, h_2)] = \varepsilon(n)$ , where  $\varepsilon(n)$  is not negligible.

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y$  to compute a candidate  $h' = g^{xy}$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs 1 if h' = h. Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs 0

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G,q,g,g^x,g^y,h$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y$  to compute a candidate  $h' = g^{xy}$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs 1 if h' = h. Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs 0

When  $h = g^{xy}$ :

• If  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds then  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] \ge \Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}] = \varepsilon(n)$ 

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G,q,g,g^x,g^y,h$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y$  to compute a candidate  $h' = g^{xy}$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs 1 if h' = h. Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs 0

When  $h = g^{xy}$ :

• If  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds then  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] \ge \Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}] = \varepsilon(n)$ 

When h is an element chosen u.a.r. from G:

• The value of h does not depend on h'

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] = \Pr[h = h']$ 

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G,q,g,g^x,g^y,h$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y$  to compute a candidate  $h' = g^{xy}$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs 1 if h' = h. Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs 0

When  $h = g^{xy}$ :

• If  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds then  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] \ge \Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}] = \varepsilon(n)$ 

When h is an element chosen u.a.r. from G:

• The value of h does not depend on h'

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] = \Pr[h = h'] = \frac{1}{q}$$

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G,q,g,g^x,g^y,h$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y$  to compute a candidate  $h' = g^{xy}$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs 1 if h' = h. Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs 0

When  $h = g^{xy}$ :

• If  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds then  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds

 $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] \ge \Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}] = \varepsilon(n)$ 

When h is an element chosen u.a.r. from G:

• The value of h does not depend on h' (recall that q is a n-bit number)

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G,q,g,g^x,g^y,h)=1] = \Pr[h=h'] = \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \qquad \text{negligible}$$

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G,q,g,g^x,g^y,h$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y$  to compute a candidate  $h' = g^{xy}$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs 1 if h' = h. Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs 0

When  $h = g^{xy}$ :

• If  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds then  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] \ge \Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}] = \varepsilon(n)$$

When h is an element chosen u.a.r. from G:

• The value of h does not depend on h' (recall that q is a n-bit number)  $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] = \Pr[h = h'] = \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \qquad \text{negligible}$   $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, g^z) = 1] - \Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) = 1] \mid = \left| \varepsilon(n) - \frac{1}{q} \right|$ 

Build  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}'$  takes as input  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  simulates  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs  $G, q, g, g^x, g^y$  to compute a candidate  $h' = g^{xy}$
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs 1 if h' = h. Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs 0

When  $h = g^{xy}$ :

• If  $\mathcal{A}$  succeeds then  $\mathcal{A}'$  succeeds

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] \ge \Pr[\mathcal{A}(G, q, g, g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}] = \varepsilon(n)$$

When h is an element chosen u.a.r. from G:

• The value of h does not depend on h' (recall that q is a n-bit number)  $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, h) = 1] = \Pr[h = h'] = \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \qquad \text{negligible}$   $\Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, g^z) = 1] - \Pr[\mathcal{A}'(G, q, g, g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) = 1] \mid = \left| \epsilon(n) - \frac{1}{q} \right| \qquad \text{non-negligible}$ 

The cryptographic schemes can be described in terms of a generic group

- We can focus on the key idea of the construction, ignoring the details of the specific group
- To build the scheme in practice, we can instantiate the theoretical construction with any *suitable* group

The cryptographic schemes can be described in terms of a generic group

- We can focus on the key idea of the construction, ignoring the details of the specific group
- To build the scheme in practice, we can instantiate the theoretical construction with any *suitable* group

Before describing the actual constructions, we briefly argue on some possible choices for these groups

The cryptographic schemes can be described in terms of a generic group

- We can focus on the key idea of the construction, ignoring the details of the specific group
- To build the scheme in practice, we can instantiate the theoretical construction with any *suitable* group

Before describing the actual constructions, we briefly argue on some possible choices for these groups We would like the group order to be prime

The cryptographic schemes can be described in terms of a generic group

- We can focus on the key idea of the construction, ignoring the details of the specific group
- To build the scheme in practice, we can instantiate the theoretical construction with any *suitable* group

Before describing the actual constructions, we briefly argue on some possible choices for these groups

We would like the group order to be prime

• The discrete-logarithm problem in a group of order q becomes *easier* (not necessarily easy!) if q has (small) prime factors

The cryptographic schemes can be described in terms of a generic group

- We can focus on the key idea of the construction, ignoring the details of the specific group
- To build the scheme in practice, we can instantiate the theoretical construction with any *suitable* group

Before describing the actual constructions, we briefly argue on some possible choices for these groups

We would like the group order to be prime

- The discrete-logarithm problem in a group of order q becomes *easier* (not necessarily easy!) if q has (small) prime factors
- The DDH problem is easy if the group order has small prime factors

The cryptographic schemes can be described in terms of a generic group

- We can focus on the key idea of the construction, ignoring the details of the specific group
- To build the scheme in practice, we can instantiate the theoretical construction with any *suitable* group

Before describing the actual constructions, we briefly argue on some possible choices for these groups

We would like the group order to be prime

- The discrete-logarithm problem in a group of order q becomes *easier* (not necessarily easy!) if q has (small) prime factors
- The DDH problem is easy if the group order has small prime factors
- Finding a generator in such groups is trivial (pick any element except for the identity)

The group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , for prime p has several nice properties:

- Easy to represent:
  - To identify the group, it suffices to know p.
  - Elements are integers in  $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ .

The group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , for prime p has several nice properties:

- Easy to represent:
  - To identify the group, it suffices to know p.
  - Elements are integers in  $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ .
- Trivial to sample one element and to check whether an element is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ .

The group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , for prime p has several nice properties:

- Easy to represent:
  - To identify the group, it suffices to know p.
  - Elements are integers in  $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ .
- Trivial to sample one element and to check whether an element is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ .
- Easy to build a group generation algorithm:
  - Pick a n-bit prime p uniformly at random

— Output p (trivial), the order q = p - 1 (trivial), an a group generator (can be found in poly-time)

The group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , for prime p has several nice properties:

- Easy to represent:
  - To identify the group, it suffices to know p.
  - Elements are integers in  $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ .
- Trivial to sample one element and to check whether an element is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ .
- Easy to build a group generation algorithm:
  - Pick a n-bit prime p uniformly at random

— Output p (trivial), the order q = p - 1 (trivial), an a group generator (can be found in poly-time)

• The discrete-logarithm problem is conjectured to be hard on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ 

The group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , for prime p has several nice properties:

- Easy to represent:
  - To identify the group, it suffices to know p.
  - Elements are integers in  $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ .
- Trivial to sample one element and to check whether an element is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ .
- Easy to build a group generation algorithm:
  - Pick a n-bit prime p uniformly at random

— Output p (trivial), the order q = p - 1 (trivial), an a group generator (can be found in poly-time)

• The discrete-logarithm problem is conjectured to be hard on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ 

#### However

- The order of the group q = p 1 is not a prime number
- The DDH problem is known **not to be hard** in such groups (in general)

#### Solution:

- Pick two prime numbers p, q such that p = qr + 1 for some r
- Consider the set of r-th residues modulo p, defined as:

#### Solution:

- Pick two prime numbers p, q such that p = qr + 1 for some r
- Consider the set of r-th residues modulo p, defined as:

 $G = \{h^r \pmod{p} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*\}$ 

• The set G is a group (under multiplication modulo p)

#### Solution:

- Pick two prime numbers p, q such that p = qr + 1 for some r
- Consider the set of r-th residues modulo p, defined as:

- The set G is a group (under multiplication modulo p)
- $\bullet\,$  The order of G is q

#### Solution:

- Pick two prime numbers p, q such that p = qr + 1 for some r
- Consider the set of r-th residues modulo p, defined as:

- The set G is a group (under multiplication modulo p)
- The order of G is  $\boldsymbol{q}$
- We can quickly pick a uniform element in G: pick  $h\in\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and return  $h^r$

#### Solution:

- Pick two prime numbers p, q such that p = qr + 1 for some r
- Consider the set of r-th residues modulo p, defined as:

- The set G is a group (under multiplication modulo p)
- $\bullet\,$  The order of G is q
- We can quickly pick a uniform element in G: pick  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and return  $h^r$
- There is a polynomial-time algorithm to test whether an element h is in G

#### Solution:

- Pick two prime numbers p, q such that p = qr + 1 for some r
- Consider the set of r-th residues modulo p, defined as:

- The set G is a group (under multiplication modulo p)
- The order of  ${\cal G}$  is q
- We can quickly pick a uniform element in G: pick  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and return  $h^r$
- There is a polynomial-time algorithm to test whether an element h is in G
- There is a polynomial-time algorithm to find a generator of  ${\cal G}$

#### Choice of Groups: other options

- Subgroups of finite fields when using the polynomial representation for elements
- Elliptic curves
  - Consider cubic equations modulo p with two variables x, y of the form

 $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \pmod{p}$ 

- Let  $E(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  be the set of points  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ that satisfy the equation, plus a special *point at infinity*  $\mathcal{O}$
- It is possible to define a suitable addition operation over  $E(\mathbb{Z}_p)$
- The set E(Z<sub>1</sub>) is a group under the addition operation, and the identity element is O



