

Binary Knapsack

Binary Knapsack

Input

- You are given a collection $\mathcal{I}$ of n items indexed from 1 to n .
- Item i has a weight $w_i : \mathbb{N}^+$ and a value $v_i \in \mathbb{N}^+$.
- You can carry an overall weight of at most $W \in \mathbb{N}$.


Goal

Find a subset of $S \subset \mathcal{I}$ such that:

- Its overall weight $w(S) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} w_i$ is at most W ; and
- Its overall value $v(S) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} v_i$ is maximized.

Example

1



2



3



4



5



6



$$w_1 = 12$$

$$w_2 = 1$$

$$w_3 = 19$$

$$w_4 = 14$$

$$w_5 = 4$$

$$w_6 = 3$$

$$v_1 = 15$$

$$v_2 = 1$$

$$v_3 = 8$$

$$v_4 = 20$$



$$v_5 = 10$$

$$v_6 = 4$$

Maximum Weight: 20



Example

1	2	3	4	5	6
					
$w_1 = 12$ $v_1 = 15$	$w_2 = 1$ $v_2 = 1$	$w_3 = 19$ $v_3 = 8$	$w_4 = 14$ $v_4 = 20$	$w_5 = 4$ $v_5 = 10$	$w_6 = 3$ $v_6 = 4$

Maximum Weight: 20

$$w(S) = 19$$

$$v(S) = 31$$



A Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Subproblem definition:

$OPT[i, x]$ = Maximum overall value $v(S)$ among all subsets S of $\{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $w(S) \leq x$.

Base case:

For any $x \geq 0$, $OPT[0, x] = 0$.

A Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Recursive Formula

- Either we ignore item i ...

$$OPT[i, x] = OPT[i - 1, x]$$

A Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Recursive Formula

- Either we ignore item i ...

$$OPT[i, x] = OPT[i - 1, x]$$

- Or we select item i and we can still carry a weight of $x - w_i$

$$OPT[i, x] = v_i + OPT[i - 1, x - w_i]$$

This is only viable if $x \geq w_i$!

A Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Recursive Formula

- Either we ignore item i ...

$$OPT[i, x] = OPT[i - 1, x]$$

- Or we select item i and we can still carry a weight of $x - w_i$

$$OPT[i, x] = v_i + OPT[i - 1, x - w_i]$$

This is only viable if $x \geq w_i$!

$$OPT[i, x] = \begin{cases} OPT[i - 1, x] & \text{if } x < w_i \\ \max \begin{cases} OPT[i - 1, x] \\ v_i + OPT[i - 1, x - w_i] \end{cases} & \text{if } x \geq w_i \end{cases}$$

Time Complexity

- $\Theta(n \cdot W)$ subproblems
- Optimal solution in $OPT[n, W]$
- Each problem can be solved in constant time
- **Overall time:** $\Theta(n \cdot W)$

Time Complexity

- $\Theta(n \cdot W)$ subproblems
- Optimal solution in $OPT[n, W]$
- Each problem can be solved in constant time
- **Overall time:** $\Theta(n \cdot W)$

Is this a polynomial-time algorithm?

Time Complexity

- $\Theta(n \cdot W)$ subproblems
- Optimal solution in $OPT[n, W]$
- Each problem can be solved in constant time
- **Overall time:** $\Theta(n \cdot W)$

Is this a polynomial-time algorithm?


NO!

The input size is $O(n(\log W + \log V))$ where $V = \max_i v_i$

Choose, e.g., $W = 2^n$.

Small maximum value

Can we do better if W is large (e.g., 2^n) and $V = \max_i v_i$ is small?



Small maximum value

Can we do better if W is large (e.g., 2^n) and $V = \max_i v_i$ is small?

Subproblem definition (sketch):

$OPT[i, x]$ = Minimum overall weight $w(S)$ among all subsets S of $\{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $v(S) \geq x$.

Base case:

$$OPT[0, 0] = 0.$$

For any $x > 0$, $OPT[0, x] = +\infty$.

Small maximum value

Can we do better if W is large (e.g., 2^n) and $V = \max_i v_i$ is small?


Subproblem definition (sketch):

$OPT[i, x]$ = Minimum overall weight $w(S)$ among all subsets S of $\{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $v(S) \geq x$.

Base case:

$$OPT[0, 0] = 0.$$

For any $x > 0$, $OPT[0, x] = +\infty$.



Use “ $+\infty$ ” to encode “not feasible”

Small maximum value

Recursive Formula

- Either we ignore item i ...

$$OPT[i, x] = OPT[i - 1, x]$$

Small maximum value

Recursive Formula

- Either we ignore item i ...

$$OPT[i, x] = OPT[i - 1, x]$$

- Or we select item i and we need to gain an additional value of $x - v_i$

$$OPT[i, x] = w_i + OPT[i - 1, \max\{x - v_i, 0\}]$$

Small maximum value

Recursive Formula

- Either we ignore item i ...

$$OPT[i, x] = OPT[i - 1, x]$$

- Or we select item i and we need to gain an additional value of $x - v_i$

$$OPT[i, x] = w_i + OPT[i - 1, \max\{x - v_i, 0\}]$$

$$OPT[i, x] = \min \begin{cases} OPT[i - 1, x] \\ w_i + OPT[i - 1, \max\{x - v_i, 0\}] \end{cases}$$

Optimal Solution: $V^* = \max_{x : OPT[n, x] \leq W} x$

Small maximum value

Optimal Solution: $V^* = \max_{x : OPT[n,x] \leq W} x$

Note: $OPT[n, x]$ is monotonically non-decreasing w.r.t. x

Small maximum value

Optimal Solution: $V^* = \max_{x : OPT[n, x] \leq W} x$

Note: $OPT[n, x]$ is monotonically non-decreasing w.r.t. x

Order of subproblems:

For each $x = 1, 2, \dots$

 Compute $OPT[1, x], OPT[2, x], \dots, OPT[n, x]$

Stop computing subproblems as a soon as $OPT[n, x] > W$.

Small maximum value

Optimal Solution: $V^* = \max_{x : OPT[n, x] \leq W} x$

Note: $OPT[n, x]$ is monotonically non-decreasing w.r.t. x

Order of subproblems:

For each $x = 1, 2, \dots$

 Compute $OPT[1, x], OPT[2, x], \dots, OPT[n, x]$

Stop computing subproblems as a soon as $OPT[n, x] > W$.

Time complexity

- $\Theta(n \cdot V^*)$ subproblems
- Each problem can be solved in constant time
- **Overall time:** $\Theta(n \cdot V^*) = O(n^2 V)$ where $V = \max_i v_i$

Two Algorithms

- **Dynamic programming:** parameterize weights, store values.

$$O(nW)$$

- **Dynamic programming:** parameterize values, store weights.

$$O(nV^*) = O(n^2V)$$

Two Algorithms

- **Dynamic programming:** parameterize weights, store values.

$$O(nW)$$

Good for $W = O(nV)$

- **Dynamic programming:** parameterize values, store weights.

$$O(nV^*) = O(n^2V)$$

Good for $W = \Omega(nV)$

Two Algorithms

- **Dynamic programming:** parameterize weights, store values.

$$O(nW)$$

Good for $W = O(nV)$

- **Dynamic programming:** parameterize values, store weights.

$$O(nV^*) = O(n^2V)$$

Good for $W = \Omega(nV)$

Neither algorithm runs in polynomial-time!

Two Algorithms

- **Dynamic programming:** parameterize weights, store values.

$$O(nW)$$

Good for $W = O(nV)$

- **Dynamic programming:** parameterize values, store weights.

$$O(nV^*) = O(n^2V)$$

Good for $W = \Omega(nV)$

Neither algorithm runs in polynomial-time!

What if V and W are large but there are few items (n is small)?